Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/573,589	TAKADA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	THANH-TRUC TRINH	1795
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) THANH-TRUC TRINH.	(3)	
(2) Surinder Sachar.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 May 2009.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-8</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments. All behabone call was made to require an oral section, over a satisfaction requirement, but did not result in an election being made. Applicant's representative confirms the case is abandoned, but can't files an expresse abandonment because the case is filed as a ECT.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, (See MFEP Section 713.04). If a rely to the last Office action has already bear filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALIN DO DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO RILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equipments on reverse add or on altered these.		